United States District Court For the Northern District of California

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9) Case No. CV 12-6504 SC)
10	THE FLOREY INSTITUTE OF NEUROSCIENCE AND MENTAL HEALTH,	ORDER RE: CASE SCHEDULING)
11	Plaintiff,))
12	v.))
13	KLEINER PERKINS CAUFIELD &))
14	BYERS, KPCB HOLDINGS, INC., DOMAIN ASSOCIATES, LLC, DOMAIN))
15	PARTNERS V, L.P., DP V ASSOCIATES, L.P., DOMAIN))
16	PARTNERS VII, L.P., DP VII ASSOCIATES, L.P., SEARS CAPITAL))
17	MANAGEMENT, LOWELL SEARS, Individually and as Trustee of))
18	The Sears Trust and The Sears Trust Dated 3/11/91, CAXTON ADVANTAGE VENTURE PARTNERS,))
19	L.P., CAXTON ADVANTAGE))
20	LIFE SCIENCES FUND, L.P., STANLEY E. ABEL, PETER M.))
21	BREINING, AND THOMAS. G. WIGGANS,))
22	Defendants.))
23))
24		

Defendant Thomas G. Wiggans ("Defendant") recently filed an administrative motion to set a uniform hearing date on this case's pending motions to dismiss and to suspend all other dates in this case's scheduling order. ECF No. 71 ("Mot."); see also ECF No. 29 ("Scheduling Order"). The other above-captioned Defendants joined

in the motion. ECF No. 73 ("Joinder"). Plaintiff opposes the

motion, arguing that the Court should not suspend its earlier

to a later date. ECF No. 75 ("Opp'n"). Plaintiff takes no

scheduling order, but that it should extend discovery by two or

three months and accordingly move the now-scheduled May 2014 trial

position on setting a uniform hearing date for the pending motions

1

	9
1	LO
1	L1
1	L2
1	L3
1	L 4
1	L5
1	L6
1	L7
1	L8
1	L9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

to dismiss, though it asks the Court not to defer consideration of			
the later-filed motion.			
Having considered the briefs and related papers, the Court			
GRANTS Defendant's administrative motion as modified. The January			
24, 2014 hearing date on the earlier-filed motion to dismiss, ECF			
No. 53, is VACATED. That motion will be heard on the papers. The			
hearing date for the later-filed motion to dismiss is undisturbed.			
See ECF No. 77 (set for hearing on February 21, 2014). All dates			
currently set in the Scheduling Order are VACATED. If necessary,			
the parties shall meet and confer to propose a revised case			
management schedule no later than thirty (30) days following the			
Court's order on the two motions to dismiss. A new case management			
conference is set for April 4 2014			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE